

**Minutes of Little Bealings Parish Council meeting held at Bealings Village Hall at 7.30pm  
on Monday 30 September 2013**

**Present:** Mrs F Rogers, Mrs T Cornish, Mr D Wilson and Mrs M Wilson

**Also present:** Mr T Fryatt (Suffolk Coastal District Councillor) and four residents

**In attendance:** Mrs C Ramsden, Clerk to the Council

During the period of public participation before the meeting the following matters were discussed:

**DC/13/2448: Grove Farm Cottage, Sandy Lane  
Erection of two-storey side extension, single-storey rear extension,  
detached outbuilding and alterations to drive/parking area**

Residents from neighbouring properties made the following points:

- That the outbuilding occupied the full width of the bottom of the garden and had a significant visual impact on 8-10 properties in Sandy Lane and Richards Drive.
- The applicant had been asked to move the outbuilding away from the boundary, but had only done so by half a metre
- It was a large building, out of proportion to the size of the dwelling.
- It would be at the same height as the dwelling and above the height of Sandy Lane.
- Views across the Fynn Valley would be lost and it would dominate the area. Only a limited view over the roof ridge would remain.
- The building was unattractive, with a glazed window at the back, a roller door and a rendered finish. Other outbuildings in the area were timber framed and/or sympathetic to the area.
- It was understood that the building would be used as a workshop/hobby room/office, but there was some concern that the applicant intended to operate an electronics business from the building.
- Sandy Lane was single track and not an appropriate road for regular deliveries of industrial work materials.
- Parking and the excavation works for the drive were of great concern. Sandy Lane must not be blocked.
- There was no provision for water run-off from the hard standing area.
- There was concern that construction lorries would damage banks.
- It was thought the applicant intended to construct the building himself, which would take a long time and could mean years of disruption.
- There was no objection to the side extension which matched others nearby.

**DC/13/2558/FUL: Woodside, Martlesham Road: Erection of a single storey  
side extension to form self-contained one bedroom annexe**

A local resident considered the plans and then advised that her property was not affected by the proposed development.

**Councillor  
Actions**

## 1 Apologies and Declarations of Interest

Apologies were received from Mr D Hunter, Dr C Rowe and Mr B Rufford.

## 2 Planning

### **DC/13/2448: Grove Farm Cottage, Sandy Lane**

#### **Erection of two-storey side extension, single-storey rear extension, detached outbuilding and alterations to drive/parking area**

The Chairman read out a letter of objection from a resident and comments from Mr Hunter.

The meeting was adjourned briefly at 7.35pm to enable a local resident to read out his letter of objection to SCDC about the development, and again briefly at 7.42pm to enable a local resident to comment on extensions to other properties nearby.

It was noted that other properties had been extended in a similar fashion and the proposed two storey extension mirrored that of the adjoining property. After discussion and consideration of Local Plan policies DM21, 22 and 23 it was **RESOLVED** that:

- there was no objection to the side storey extension
- there was objection to the outbuilding due to its size, location, appearance and impact on the other properties which adjoin it or are nearby.
- there was also objection due to the lack of provision for surface water drainage from the new hardstanding/tarmac.
- SCDC should be advised there was concern that some residents understood the applicant's intention is to use the building for business use. This use has not been applied for and is not considered appropriate in view of the private, single track status of Sandy Lane.
- there was concern that any permission must control access and deliveries to the site so that Sandy Lane is not blocked for other users and emergency access.

### **DC/13/2558/FUL: Woodside, Martlesham Road: Erection of a single storey side extension to form self-contained one bedroom annexe**

The Chairman read out comments from Mr Hunter. It was noted that the annexe did not seem to overlook other properties. After discussion and consideration of Local Plan policy DM6 it was **RESOLVED**:

- that there was no objection to the development.

### **PL\0231\13 (C13/2279/CCA): Installation and Operation of Small Scale Electricity Generation Plant: Land Adjacent to Sinks Pit, Hall Road**

The applicant had supplied information in response to the Council's objection and had asked if the Council would withdraw its objection as a result. It was **RESOLVED**:

- to support the application subject to:
  - noise levels being monitored and not exceeding the levels in the application
  - the application not setting a precedent for other installations

at the site.

**Finches Hill: Erection of swimming pool extension**

It was noted that enforcement action would be considered at an SCDC meeting to be held on 10 October. 2013.

**EAOW**

It was noted that the Councillors had attended the local hearings and there was no further action to be taken. There was concern if any approval did not include the installation of cabling for EAOW 3 and 4, in view of the disruption that would be caused.

There being no further business to discuss the meeting closed at 8.17pm. The next meeting will be at 7.30pm on Monday 4 November 2013.